

BornAgain - Refactoring #1064

Get rid of "pylab" in Python scripts

07 May 2015 14:46 - wuttke

| | | | |
|--|-----------|------------------------|-------------|
| Status: | Archived | Start date: | 07 May 2015 |
| Priority: | Normal | Due date: | |
| Assignee: | pospelov | % Done: | 0% |
| Category: | | Estimated time: | 0.00 hour |
| Target version: | Sprint 29 | | |
| Description | | | |
| In my understanding, "pylab" is nothing more than a very thin layer on top of numpy and matplotlib. Its maintenance status appears questionable. Calling numpy and matplotlib through pylab in our examples has little advantage for superficial users (just makes the code a few bytes shorter), but is annoying for those who want to modify the example code (obscures which modules are actually used, and thereby makes lookup in the API docs more difficult). | | | |

History

#1 - 02 Jun 2015 14:36 - wuttke

- Description updated

#2 - 31 Jul 2015 14:55 - pospelov

- Status changed from New to Sprint

#3 - 31 Jul 2015 14:56 - pospelov

- Target version set to Sprint 29

#4 - 01 Oct 2015 15:58 - pospelov

- Assignee set to pospelov

I take this, it fits refactoring I'm doing now in python examples (related to the IntensityData and Fitting API changes)

#5 - 13 Oct 2015 11:27 - pospelov

- Status changed from Sprint to Resolved

#6 - 03 Nov 2015 10:01 - pospelov

- Status changed from Resolved to Archived